



## Evaluation form

Workshop Kromme Rijn: Wednesday 14 December 2016 in Bunnik (MFA Kersentuin).

Number of participants: 8 (TALE programme)

Number of returned questionnaires: 7

### 1. Which stakeholder group do you represent? (more than one answer possible)

- Politics       2 - Farmer/forester       Environmental administration
- Agricultural administration       Farmers association       1 - Environmental NGO
- Business development       Social service       3 - Tourism
- 1- Researcher/scientist       Other, please specify: 1 –Policy maker (provincial level), 1 - Marketing estates

### 2. Is this the first time you were involved in a research process?

Yes  3 No  2

If not, in how many others were you involved?: Participated in 1 project before\_\_\_\_\_

### 3. Do you think projects such as TALE require stakeholder integration?

1	2	3	4
Do not agree	Partly agree	Largely agree <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1	Fully agree <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6

### 4. What are the main fields of contributions for stakeholders in projects such as TALE (e.g. co-design of research questions; result validation; research participation through workshops)

Reflection on results/ 'reality check' of the results: 6

Provision of information: 5

Co-development of research questions: 3

Participation in the research via workshops: 3

5. Do you think that the format and organization of this activity is suitable for scenario development?

Yes  No

Please explain your choice: **Mostly: 7** (We adopted this question to give the following options: Fully/Mostly/Partly/No/NA)

---

6. Did it become clear what your role is in this workshop?

Yes  No

Please explain your choice:

- Yes, after the introduction at the workshop itself.

7. Did the workshop meet your expectations?

1	2	3	4
Not met	Partly met (1)	Largely met (4)	Fully met (2)

- Note from participant with 'Partly met': It was very different to what I thought, but very interesting.

**Additional question:**

**What was your expectation of this workshop:**

- Exchange of information
- Taking note of research results and contributing of own thoughts on follow-ups, what can be done with it and is possibly important for policy organisations
- I joined openly
- Getting insight into recreation desires and possibilities for innovation
- Getting to know more about what tourists value in the region.

8. What was the greatest challenge for you to participate in the workshop?

- To bring the infrastructure into maps; the role of GIS
- Understanding and translating scenarios
- Nothing
- Abstraction level; transcending the scale of the Kromme Rijn

9. Do you think that the content of the discussion will be useful for your work?

Yes  No



- Yes, a lot: 2
- Yes, partly: 3
- No: 0

Please explain your choice:

- Especially when final results are known
- Dependent on continuation, but one or the other idea is good to think about
- Fascinating presentation on the photos from social media
- Am especially curious about final results of the recreation interviews and the photo analyses
- Photo opportunities

#### 10. Any other aspects or comments you would like to mention?

No

Additional question:

Why did you decide to participate in this workshop?

- I have already previously been involved, would like to contribute to the analysis and learn from it.
- Partly curiosity, but also to bring forwards our ideas.
- Interested in touristic recreation developments, needs, etc.
- Recreation in combination with landscape type.
- Above all because of the measurements of recreation pressure/social media method.



**Additional questions for case study internal use**

- 1. Which of the scenarios for the case study region is most desirable in your view?**

---

---

---

- 2. Which of the scenarios for the case study region you think is most probable?**

---

---

---